

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/341,543	02/17/2000	ERIC RADIGON	ATOCM-151	5260	
75	590 05/03/2002				
MILLEN WH	ITE ZELANO & BRA	EXAMINER			
2200 CLARENDON BOULEVARD ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I SUITE 1400			SZEKELY, PETER A		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1714	16	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant( O9/34/543 R		edigan et al.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner 52	relati	Group Art Unit		
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			correspondence a	ddress—	
P riod for Reply	5				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO B OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	<u>کہ</u> EXPIRE	MONTH(	S) FROM THE MAI	LING DATE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory pire SIX (6) MONT	minimum of thirty (30	0) days will be consider ate of this communicati	ed timely. on .	
Status	1				
□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/12/	101			·	
☐ This action is FINAL.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0			to the merits is clo	sed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
Claim(s) 1-23		is/are	e pending in the app	lication.	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from co	nsideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are	is/are allowed.			
© Claim(s) 1 − 2 3		is/are	e rejected.		
□ Claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)			or election		
			irement.		
Application Papers	Deview DTO 046	<b>.</b>			
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	•		ved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.					
<ul> <li>received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)</li> <li>received in this national stage application from the Intern</li> </ul>					
*Certified copies not received:					
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s)	☐ Interview Sur	nmary, PTO-413		
Notice of Ref rence(s) Cited, PTO-892	,	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R view, PTO-948					
·	ati n Cumara				
Office A	cti n Summar	7			

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. 16

Art Unit: 1714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The final rejection imposed in Paper #13 is withdrawn by the Examiner. Prosecution of the instant application is hereby reopened.

## Specification

- 2. The incorporation of essential material in the specification by reference to a foreign application or patent, or to a publication is improper. Applicant is required to amend the disclosure to include the material incorporated by reference. The amendment must be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration executed by the applicant, or a practitioner representing the applicant, stating that the amendatory material consists of the same material incorporated by reference in the referencing application. See *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 569, 179 USPQ 157 (CCPA 1973); *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 579, 179 USPQ 163 (CCPA 1973); and *In re Hawkins*, 486 F.2d 577, 179 USPQ 167 (CCPA 1973).
- 3. The attempt to incorporate subject matter into this application by reference to Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry is improper because it is a publication. See page 9, lines 15-18.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 2, line 23, ethylene/alkyl meth(acrylate) is mentioned. Ethylene/alkyl (meth)acrylate maybe?.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1714

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no mention in the specification of a non-reactive HMPSA. This is a negative limitation, requiring explicit antecedent basis in the specification. See Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393-394, (1986). The limitation in claims 11 and 15, that the MFI has to be at least 200mg/10 min. is not in the description either. 200g/10 min. maybe?
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 8. Claims 6, 16, 17 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 9. The word derivative renders these claims indefinite. CO<sub>2</sub> is a derivative of both rosin and terpene. It is derived by burning. See Austenal Laboratories, Incorporated v. Nobilium

Art Unit: 1714

Processing Company of Chicago et al. (DC NILL) 115 USPQ 44 (1957) and Petrolite Corporation v. Watson, Comr. Pats. (DC DC) 113 USPQ 248 (1957). Both of these decisions are enclosed. In claims 17 and 20, both "rosin" and "rosin derivatives" are misspelled as "resin" and "resin derivatives" respectively, and claim 20 improperly depends from claim 17.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 10. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 11. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over ATO Findley S.A. WO 97/12007.
- 12. ATO Findley discloses an ethylene-alkyl acrylate copolymer, tackifying resin and thinning agent (that is, plasticizer) in claim 1. The alkyl acrylate can be 2-ethylhexyl acrylate (claim 3). The MFI is between 0.5 and 1000g/10 min. (Claim 4). The tackifier is 30-80% of the composition, the plasticizer content is up to 35% of the composition (claim 6). The acrylate content of the copolymer is 15-45% (page 6, lines 1-2), and mixtures of copolymers is discussed on page 6, lines 9-12. The coating temperature is 180°C (Examples) The tackifiers cited are identical to those claimed by applicants, thus the softening point are the same. Applicants' claims are not novel. In the alternative, the softening point range is so broad, that most commercially used tackifiers would satisfy the requirement, making the limitation obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/341,543

Art Unit: 1714

13. Claims 1-4, 6, 7 and 9-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Uchigaki et al. 3,931,077

14. Uchigaki et al. teach tackifiers, with their concentrations and liquid temperatures, and

thermoplastic resin in claim 1 and ethylene-2-ethylhexyl acrylate in column 5, lines 18-19.

Ethylene content of the copolymer is shown in claim 4. The "non-reactive" limitation is new

matter. The presence of the reactive component does not interfere with the invention.

Applicants' claims are not novel. In the alternative, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to raise the coating temperature to

140°C, if the viscosity was to high at lower temperatures.

#### Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (703) 308-2460. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday from 7:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on (703) 306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7718 or (703) 305-5408.

Art Unit: 1714

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Peter Szekely

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714